

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,467	02/05/2002	Fradique Lee-Duarte	2253/001	6757
1473 7:	590 03/12/2003			
FISH & NEA	-		EXAM	INER
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 50TH FLOOR			ZEADE, BERTRAND	
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/068,467	LEE-DUARTE, FRADIQUE
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Bertrand Zeade	2875
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
- External fractions of the second se	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 F	ebruary 2002 .	
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.	
3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	nce except for formal m Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🖂	Claim(s) 13 and 14 is/are objected to.		
8) <u>□</u> Applicatio	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.	
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner		
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		the Examiner
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ o	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.	
12) 🗌 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.	·
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in A	application No.
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list o	y documents have been	received in this National Stage
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provicknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has be	een received.
ttachment(s			121.
) 🔲 Notice (of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1-12, 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipate by Stan et al. (U.S.6,429,594).

Stan (594) discloses a continuously variable headlamp control having:

Regarding claim 1, a light or headlamp (22) for a highway vehicle (20) for illuminating an area through which another vehicle (28) that is coming in the opposite direction toward the first mentioned vehicle (20) will pass as the other vehicle (28) passes the first-mentioned vehicle (20), the light (22) being directed away from the front of the first-mentioned vehicle (20).

Regarding claim 2, the light (22) is directed at least partly to one side of the first-mentioned vehicle (20), the one side being the side along which the other vehicle will pass.

Regarding claim 3, the light (22) is directed at least partly toward the rear of the first-mentioned vehicle (20) along the side of the first mentioned vehicle that the other vehicle will pass.

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 4, the light (22) is adapted for mounting on the side of the first mentioned vehicle (20) that the other vehicle will pass.

Regarding claim 5, the light (22) is adapted for mounting on the driver's side of the first-mentioned vehicle (20).

Page 2

Regarding claim 6, in combination with mounting structure adapted to mount the light (22) on the first mentioned vehicle (20).

Regarding claim 7, the mounting structure is adapted to permit adjustment in direction of the light (50).

Regarding claim 8, in combination with light control circuitry (342) adapted to selectively automatically turn on the light.

Regarding claim 9, the light control circuitry (342) is adapted to turn on the light in response to detection of the other vehicle coming in the opposite direction toward the firstmentioned vehicle.

Regarding claim 10, the light control circuitry (342) includes sensor (52) circuitry adapted to detect light from the headlights (22) of the other vehicle coming in the opposite direction toward the first-mentioned vehicle (26).

Regarding claim 11, the light control circuitry (342) is further adapted to keep the light on for a time after cessation of a condition that caused the light to be turn on (see figs. 16-18).

Regarding claim 12, the time is limited so that the light is thereafter automatically turned off (see figs. 16-22).

Art Unit: 2875

Page 3

Regarding claim 6, the light (22) in combination with mounting structure adapted to mount the light (22) on the first-mentioned vehicle (20).

Regarding claim 7, the mounting structure is adapted to permit adjustment in direction of Regarding claim 6, the light (22) in combination with mounting structure adapted to mount the light (22) on the first-mentioned vehicle (20).

Regarding claim 7, the mounting structure is adapted to permit adjustment in direction of the light (22).

Regarding claim 8, the light (22) defined in combination with light control circuitry adapted to selectively automatically turn on the light (634).

Regarding claim 9, the light (22) defined the light control circuitry is adapted to turn on the light in response to detection of the other vehicle coming in the opposite direction toward the first-mentioned vehicle.

Regarding claim 10, the light control circuitry includes sensor circuitry adapted to detect light from the headlights of the other vehicle coming in the opposite direction toward the first mentioned vehicle.

Regarding claim 11, the control circuitry (342) is further adapted to keep the light on for a time after cessation of a condition that caused the light to be turned on.

Regarding claim 12, the time is limited so that the light is thereafter automatically turned off.

Art Unit: 2875

Regarding claim 15, a spotlight or illumination range (24); a structure (see figs. 21-22) for supporting the spotlight on the left lateral side of the first-mentioned vehicle (20) so that the spotlight (24) points away from the front of the first-mentioned vehicle (20) but into an area through which the other vehicle will pass in the course of passing the first-mentioned vehicle (28/26); and a photosensor or array sensor (52) that is enabled when the first-mentioned vehicle's headlights are turned on, and that when thus enabled, is activated by light from the headlights of the other vehicle to turn on the spotlight (24).

Page 4

Regarding claim 16, the structure is adapted to allow adjustment of the spotlight's direction (see fig. 15-22).

Regarding claim 17, a track adapted to allow adjustment of the height of the spotlight; a first rotatable coupling adapted to allow adjustment of the angle of the spotlight about a substantially vertically horizontal axis.

Regarding claim 18, the photosensor or array sensor (52) is located in a light protector tunnel.

Regarding claim 19, the structure (see figs. 21-22) is adapted for mounting on the left front fender of the first-mentioned vehicle (20).

Art Unit: 2875

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

Claims 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 2.

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The 3.

prior art of record neither teach nor suggest light outputs an amount of light at least equal to

about 25% of the light output by a low beam headlight of the first-mentioned vehicle and the light

outputs an amount of light at least equal to about 50% of the light output by a high beam

headlight of the first-mentioned vehicle.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 4.

disclosure.

Hbaumanns (U.S.3,249,761) discloses a photoelectric headlamp dimmer which adjusts 5.

headlamps inwardly..

Art Unit: 2875

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Bertrand Zeade whose telephone number is 703-308-6084. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Sandra O'Shea, can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Examiner: Bertrand Zeade

March 6, 2003.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 5